

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,171	12/30/2003	Zbigniew Tokarski	3216.35US02	7873	
24113	7590 04/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A.			RODEE, CHRISTOPHER D		
4800 IDS CE 80 SOUTH 8	- ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	APOLIS, MN 55402-2100 1756				
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-10			
Office Action Summers		10/749,171	TOKARSKI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher RoDee	1756				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported by the second section apported by the second seco	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period- ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDON	N). imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 A	pril 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	i.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 27 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea		ed in this National Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed				
		or the certified depice flot reserv					
Attachmen	Hal						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment submitted 6 April 2006 has been entered. A new grounds of rejection is applied against claim 27, which was newly presented after the first Office action and as recently amended. Finality of the Office action of 8 February 2006 is withdrawn and prosecution on the merits resumes.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 11 April 2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The information disclosure statement filed 11 April 2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,171 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 511 664.

The European document discloses charge transport compounds of the general formula (III) that are placed in a photosensitive layer with a charge generating material, a binder, optional other hole transport materials (e.g., stilbene) and an electron attracting compound (i.e., electron transport compound) to form an organophotoreceptor (p. 34, l. 49 – p. 36, l. 14). A conductive substrate supports the photosensitive layer. Exemplfiled compounds according to the formula (III) include compounds (3-1) through (3-8), (3-14), (3-17), (3-18), and (3-20). These compounds meet the requirements of the charge transport compound of the instant claims except that the moieties on either side of Y are identical. However, the reference teaches in the general formula (III) each of the groups R¹¹, R¹², R¹³, and R¹⁴ can be the same or different (p. 6, l. 9). Compound (3-12) has different groups for R¹¹, R¹², R¹³, and R¹⁴, such that the compound if not symmetrical.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use different groups for R¹¹, R¹², R¹³, and R¹⁴ in the exemplified compounds noted above because the EP document teaches that each of R¹¹, R¹², R¹³, and R¹⁴ can be the same or different and exemplifies a compound where R¹¹, R¹², R¹³, and R¹⁴ are not all the same.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-26 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher RoDee whose telephone number is 571-272-1388. The examiner can normally be reached on most weekdays from 6:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,171 Page 5

Art Unit: 1756

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cdr

18 April 2006

CHRISTOPHER RODEE PRIMARY EXAMINER